# **Development Management Sub-Committee Report**

## Wednesday 25 October 2023

Application for Planning Permission STL 4 Sunbury Street, Edinburgh, EH4 3BU'

Proposal: Change of use of an existing residential property which has been used as a short term let since 2016 to a permanent short term let (in retrospect).

Item – Local Delegated Decision Application Number – 23/03911/FULSTL Ward – B05 - Inverleith

## **Reasons for Referral to Committee**

The application has been referred to Development Management Sub-Committee because the application has attracted more than 20 letters of support, and the recommendation is for refusal. Consequently, under the Council's Scheme of Delegation, the application must be determined by the Development Management Sub-Committee.

#### Recommendation

It is recommended that this application be **Refused** subject to the details below.

## Summary

The proposal is acceptable with regard to Section 64 of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) (Scotland) Act 1997 as it will preserve the character or appearance of the conservation area.

The change of use of this property to a short-term let (STL) will have an unacceptable impact on neighbouring amenity. The loss of the residential accommodation has not been justified. Whilst it is recognised that there is an economic benefit to the city as a whole from the provision of tourist accommodation, in this case it does not outweigh the adverse impact on residential amenity or loss of residential accommodation. The proposal does not comply with the Development Plan policy NPF 4 policy 30(e) and LDP policy Hou 7. There are no material considerations that outweigh this conclusion. The proposal is unacceptable.

## **SECTION A – Application Background**

## Site Description

The application site is a one-bedroom flat at the first floor level of a two-storey block at 4 Sunbury Street, Dean Village. The property has its own main door access but shares a passage and a set of access steps with four other properties in the block. The floor area is 23 square metres. There is no shared amenity space. The property is on the second floor of a two-storey block but adjoined to an eight-storey residential block. The surrounding area is predominantly residential.

The site lies within the Dean Conservation Area and the Old and New Towns of Edinburgh World Heritage Site.

## **Description of the Proposal**

The application is for a change of use from residential to permanent short term let (sui generis). No internal or external physical changes are proposed. The applicant has advised that the property has been used as a short term let since 2016. Therefore, the application is retrospective.

## **Supporting Information**

Planning Report.

## **Relevant Site History**

22/04981/FULSTL
4 Sunbury Street
Edinburgh
EH4 3BU
Retrospective change of use from residential to short term let (Sui-Generis).
Refused
2 May 2023

#### Other Relevant Site History

No other relevant planning site history.

#### **Pre-Application process**

There is no pre-application process history.

#### **Consultation Engagement**

No consultations undertaken.

## **Publicity and Public Engagement**

Date of Neighbour Notification: 4 September 2023

Date of Renotification of Neighbour Notification: Not Applicable

Press Publication Date(s): 8 September 2023

Site Notices Date(s): 5 September 2023

**Number of Contributors: 36** 

#### **Section B - Assessment**

## **Determining Issues**

Due to the proposed development falling within a conservation area, this report will first consider the proposals in terms of Section 64 of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) (Scotland) Act 1997:

- Is there a strong presumption against granting planning permission due to the development conflicting with the objective of preserving or enhancing the character or appearance of the conservation area?
- If the strong presumption against granting planning permission is engaged, are there any significant public interest advantages of the development which can only be delivered at the scheme's proposed location that are sufficient to outweigh it?

This report will then consider the proposed development under Sections 24, 25 and 37 of the Town and Country Planning (Scotland) Act 1997 (the 1997 Act):

Having regard to the legal requirement of Section 24(3), in the event of any policy incompatibility between National Planning Framework 4 (NPF4) & Edinburgh Local Development Plan 2016 (LDP) the newer policy shall prevail.

Do the proposals comply with the development plan?

If the proposals do comply with the development plan, are there any compelling material considerations for not approving them?

If the proposals do not comply with the development plan, are there any compelling material considerations for approving them?

In the assessment of material considerations this report will consider:

- equalities and human rights;
- public representations and
- any other identified material considerations.

#### Assessment

To address these determining issues, it needs to be considered whether:

## a) The proposals harm the character or appearance of the conservation area?

Section 64(1) of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) (Scotland) Act 1997 states:

"In exercise, with respect to any buildings or other land in a conservation area, of any powers under any of the provisions in subsection (2), special attention shall be paid to the desirability of preserving or enhancing the character or appearance of that area."

The Dean Conservation Area Character Appraisal emphasises the distinctive village character of the streetscape within Dean Village, the heritage of high quality buildings, the limited range of building materials, the predominance of residential uses, and the importance of the Water of Leith and its corridor.

There are no external changes proposed. The change of use from a residential premises to a short term let will not have any material impact on the character of the conservation area. The change of use would preserve the appearance of the conservation area.

#### Conclusion in relation to the conservation area

The proposals are acceptable with regard to Section 64 of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) (Scotland) Act 1997.

## b) The proposals comply with the development plan?

National Planning Framework 4 (NPF 4) was adopted by the Scottish Ministers on 13 February 2023 and forms part of the Council's Development Plan. NPF 4 policies support the planning and delivery of Sustainable Places, Liveable Places and Productive Places and are the key policies against which proposals for development are assessed. Several policies in the Edinburgh Local Development Plan (LDP) are superseded by equivalent and alternative policies within NPF 4. The relevant policies to be considered are:

- NPF 4 Sustainable Places Policy 1.
- NPF 4 Historic Assets and Places Policy 7.
- NPF 4 Productive Places Tourism Policy 30.
- LDP Housing Policy Hou 7.
- LDP Transport Policies Tra 2 and Tra 3.

The non-statutory 'Listed Buildings and Conservation Area' guidance is a material consideration that is relevant when considering historic assets.

The non-statutory 'Guidance for Businesses' (2023) is a material consideration that is relevant when considering change of use applications.

#### Conservation Area and Edinburgh's World Heritage Site

There are no external or internal works proposed and as such there will not be a significant impact on historic assets and places. The proposal complies with NPF 4 Policy 7.

## Proposed Use

With regards to NPF 4 Policy 1, the proposals do not involve operational development. The proposals will have a negligible impact on the global climate and nature crisis.

NPF 4 Policy 30 seeks to encourage, promote and facilitate sustainable tourism development which benefits local people, is consistent with our net zero and nature commitments, and inspires people to visit Scotland. Criterion 30 (e) specifically relates to STL proposals.

LDP Policy Hou 7 (Inappropriate Uses in Residential Areas), seeks to protect residential amenity.

The non-statutory Guidance for Businesses (2023) states that an assessment of a change of use of dwellings to a short term let will have regard to:

- The character of the new use and of the wider area;
- The size of the property;
- The pattern of activity associated with the use including numbers of occupants, the period of use, issues of noise, disturbance and parking demand and
- The nature and character of any services provided.

## Amenity

The application property has its own main door access and shares a set of steps and a passageway with four other properties in the block. The steps lead down to the main street. It is on the second floor level of a two storey tenement and adjoined to an eight-storey block which is entirely residential. The surrounding properties are predominantly residential. Consequently, the character of the street is fairly quiet with low ambient noise levels.

The applicant has submitted a planning statement referring to NPF 4 Policy 30 (e). In terms of amenity the statement asserts that the application property is small, self-contained with its own entrance therefore will have no impact on residential amenity. The statement confirms that the property is located in a predominantly residential area with very few STLs and is well managed.

Although it has its own main door access, the application property shares a set of steps and a passageway with four other flats. The use of this property as a short term let would have the potential to introduce an increased frequency of movement to the flat at unsociable hours. The proposed one bedroom short stay use would enable visitors to arrive and stay at the premises for a short period of time on a regular basis throughout the year in a manner dissimilar to that of permanent residents. There is no guarantee that guests would not come and go frequently throughout the day and night and transient visitors may have less regard for neighbours' amenity than individuals using the property as a principal home. There may also be a negative impact on community cohesion.

The additional servicing that operating a property as an STL requires compared to that of a residential use is also likely to result in an increase in disturbance, further impacting on neighbouring amenity. However, this would be of lesser impact as it is likely that servicing would be conducted during the daytime.

The potential for noise described above would be significantly different from the ambient background noise that neighbouring residents might reasonably expect and will have a significantly detrimental effect on the living conditions and amenity of nearby residents. The proposal does not comply with NPF 4 policy 30(e) part (i) and LDP policy Hou 7.

#### Loss of residential accommodation

NPF 4 policy 30(e) part (ii) requires that where there is a loss of residential accommodation, this will only be supported where the loss is outweighed by demonstrable local economic benefits.

Paragraph 220 of the LDP acknowledges that tourism is the biggest source of employment in Edinburgh, providing jobs for over 31,000 people. The use of the property by guests and the required maintenance and upkeep of STL properties are likely to result in a level of job creation and spend within the economy which can be classed as having an economic benefit.

The applicant's planning statement refers to the loss of residential accommodation. It asserts that as the property is small with minimal storage space, it has limited appeal as a family home. It states that the potential loss of the property as a residential unit would be far outweighed by the loss of an STL within the tourist sector. It also suggests that STL use attracts visitors that will support local amenities, visit local restaurants, shops, cafes and bars and generally make a positive contribution to the local economy.

The current lawful use of the property is for residential accommodation. Consequently, the use of the property as an STL would result in a loss of residential accommodation, which given the recognised need and demand for housing in Edinburgh is important to retain, where appropriate.

Further, it is important to recognise that residential occupation of the property contributes to the economy, in terms of providing a home and the spend in relation to the use of the property as a home, including the use of local services and resultant employment, as well as by making contributions to the local community.

In this instance, it has not been sufficiently demonstrated that the loss of the residential accommodation is outweighed by demonstrable local economic benefits. As such, the proposal does not comply with NPF 4 30(e) part (ii).

#### Car Parking

There is no off-street car parking available within the site. The site is accessible by public transport within a 10 minute walk. There are no cycle parking standards for STLs. Bikes could be parked within the property if required. The proposals comply with policies Tra 2 and Tra 3.

#### **Conclusion in relation to the Development Plan**

The change of use of this property to an STL will have an unacceptable impact on neighbouring amenity. The loss of the residential accommodation has not been justified. Whilst it is recognised that there is an economic benefit to the city as a whole from the provision of tourist accommodation, in this case it does not outweigh the adverse impact on residential amenity. The proposal does not comply with the Development Plan policy NPF 4 policy 30(e) and LDP policy Hou 7.

## c) There are any other material considerations which must be addressed?

The following material planning considerations have been identified:

## **Emerging policy context**

City Plan 2030 represents the settled will of the Council, and it has been submitted to Scottish Ministers for examination. As such, limited weight can be attached to it as a material consideration in the determination of this application.

## Equalities and human rights

Due regard has been given to section 149 of the Equalities Act 2010. No impacts have been identified.

Consideration has been given to human rights. No impacts have been identified through the assessment and no comments have been received in relation to human rights.

## Independent economic impact assessment

An independent economic impact assessment was commissioned by the Planning Service, and this resulted in a report on the Economic Impact of Residential and Short-Term Let Properties in Edinburgh (the Economic Report). This was reported to Planning Committee on 14 June 2023. The Committee noted that the findings of the report are one source of information that can be considered when assessing the economic impacts of short-term let planning applications and that given the report is considering generalities rather than the specifics of an individual case, it is likely that only limited weight can be attached to it as a material consideration when making planning application decisions. The study considered the economic impact of various types of properties in Edinburgh if used as a residential property as opposed to being used for short-term holiday lettings.

The Economic Report shows that there are positive economic impacts from the use of properties for both residential use and short-term let use. The Report found that in general the gross value added (GVA) effects are greater for residential uses than short-term lets across all property types and all areas. However, given it is considering generalities rather than the specifics of this individual case, only limited weight can be attached to it as a material consideration in the determination of this application.

## Public representations

## 36 representations.

2 objections. 34 in support. 17 representations in support of the application did not provide reasons for their support.

A summary of the representations is provided below:

#### material objections

- Negative impact on residential amenity (including community cohesion). Addressed in b) above.
- Negative impact on housing stock. Addressed in b) above.

#### non-material objections

 There are too many STLs in the area. Each application is assessed on its individual merits.

## material support

- Property is self-contained and has own main door. Addressed in b) above.
- Property is situated in a quiet residential area. Addressed in b) above
- Property has limited use a permanent dwelling. Addressed in b) above.
- STLs contribute to the economy. Addressed in b) above.

## non-material support

- Cheaper alternative for tourists. This is a marketing issue and not a material planning consideration.
- City and local area needs STLs. Each application must be assessed on its merits.
- STLs prevent flats from being empty.
- There is on-site management.
- The flat is in excellent order.
- Convenient and ideally located.

#### Conclusion in relation to identified material considerations

Identified material considerations have been assessed above and do not raise issues which outweigh the conclusion in relation to the development plan.

#### Overall conclusion

The proposal is acceptable with regard to Section 64 of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) (Scotland) Act 1997 as it will preserve the character or appearance of the conservation area.

The change of use of this property to an STL will have an unacceptable impact on neighbouring amenity. The loss of the residential accommodation has not been justified. Whilst it is recognised that there is an economic benefit to the city as a whole from the provision of tourist accommodation, in this case it does not outweigh the adverse impact on residential amenity or loss of residential accommodation. The proposal does not comply with the Development Plan policy NPF 4 policy 30(e) and LDP policy Hou 7. There are no material considerations that outweigh this conclusion. The proposal is unacceptable.

## **Section C - Conditions/Reasons/Informatives**

The recommendation is subject to the following;

#### Reason for Refusal: -

- 1. The proposal is contrary to Local Development Plan Policy Hou 7 in respect of Inappropriate Uses in Residential Areas, as the use of this property as a short term let will have a materially detrimental effect on the living conditions and amenity of nearby residents.
- 2. The proposal is contrary to National Planning Framework 4 Policy 30(e) in respect of Local Amenity and Loss of Residential Accommodation, as the use of this property as a short term let will result in an unacceptable impact on local amenity and the loss of a residential property has not been justified.

## **Background Reading/External References**

To view details of the application go to the Planning Portal

Further Information - Local Development Plan

Date Registered: 25 August 2023

**Drawing Numbers/Scheme** 

01, 02

Scheme 1

David Givan
Chief Planning Officer
PLACE
The City of Edinburgh Council

Contact: Lesley Porteous, Planning Officer E-mail:lesley.porteous@edinburgh.gov.uk

# Appendix 1

## **Summary of Consultation Responses**

No consultations undertaken.

# **Location Plan**



© Crown Copyright and database right 2014. All rights reserved. Ordnance Survey License number 100023420